Nuclear medium effects on the σ mass and width

M.J. Vicente Vacas and E. Oset
Departamento de Física Teórica and IFIC,
Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia-CSIC,
Institutos de Investigación de Paterna, Apdo. correos 2085,
46071, Valencia, Spain
(February 8, 2008)

The meson meson interaction in the scalar isoscalar channel at finite baryonic density is studied in the framework of a chiral unitary approach which generates the f_0 and σ resonances and reproduces well the meson meson phase shifts in vacuum. We investigate the σ spectral function, its mass and its width as a function of the baryon density and discuss possible experimental signatures in the $(\pi, 2\pi)$ and the $(\gamma, 2\pi)$ reactions.

14.40.Aq; 14.40.Cs; 13.75.Lb

arXiv:nucl-th/0112048v1 14 Dec 2001

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past few years much effort has been devoted to the study of the $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude in nuclei and its possible experimental signals, like the enhancement of the $\pi\pi$ invariant mass distributions close to the two pion threshold, seen in the experiments of pion induced two pion production in nuclei [1–4] and the large strength shift found in the $\pi^0\pi^0$ channel [5].

The idea of strong threshold effects due to the $\pi\pi$ interaction in a dense nuclear medium was first suggested in ref. [6]. These effects would show up in the scalar isoscalar channel and could be interpreted as a drop of the sigma mass in nuclear matter. Later works, paying attention to the chiral constraints of the $\pi\pi$ amplitude and trying to use realistic potentials, found indeed an appreciable enhancement of the $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude close to threshold [7–10].

These theoretical $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitudes in the nuclear medium have been used in the analysis of the $(\pi, \pi\pi)$ reactions in nuclei [11–13]. Although the modifications of the $\pi\pi$ invariant mass distributions produced by the $\pi\pi$ scattering go in the right direction, the calculations have not been successful in reproducing the full strength of the medium effects found in the experiment.

In this paper, we will discuss first our approach to the study of the pion pion interaction in nuclear matter. Then, we will show some results on the σ meson mass and width. Finally, we will discuss the possibility of finding a clear experimental signature of these effects in the $(\pi, \pi\pi)$ and the $(\gamma, \pi\pi)$ reactions.

II. $\pi\pi$ INTERACTION IN VACUUM

In this section we briefly sketch the simple approach of ref. [14], which is enough for our purposes. More elaborate studies can be found in [15–17]. The basic idea is to solve a Bethe Salpeter (BS) equation, which guarantees unitarity, matching the low energy results to the chiral perturbation theory (χPT) predictions. We consider two possible intermediate states, $\pi\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$, labelled 1 and 2 in the formulas. The isospin 0 channel is given by the following combinations

$$|K\bar{K}>= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|K^+(\vec{q})K^-(-\vec{q}) + K^0(\vec{q})\bar{K}^0(-\vec{q})>, \tag{1}$$

$$|\pi\pi>= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}|\pi^+(\vec{q})\pi^-(-\vec{q}) + \pi^-(\vec{q})\pi^+(-\vec{q}) + \pi^0(\vec{q})\pi^0(-\vec{q})>, \tag{2}$$

where $\vec{q}$ is the mesons momentum in the center of mass (CM) system. We neglect the $\eta\eta$ channel which is not relevant at the low energies we are interested in.

The BS equation is given by

$$T = VGT. \tag{3}$$

Eq. 3 is an integral equation and the term VGT involves the two mesons one loop divergent integral, (see Fig. 1) where V and T appear off shell. However, for this channel both functions can be factorized on shell out of the integral. The remaining off shell part can be absorbed by a renormalization of the coupling constants as it was shown in refs. [14,18]. Thus, the BS equation becomes purely algebraic and the VGT originally inside the loop integral becomes then the product of V, G and T, with V and T the on shell amplitudes, and G given by the expression

$$G_{ii} = i \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_{1i}^2 + i\epsilon} \ \frac{1}{(P-q)^2 - m_{2i}^2 + i\epsilon} \tag{4}$$

where P is the total momentum of the meson-meson system. This integral is regularized with a cut-off adjusted to optimize the fit to the $\pi - \pi$ phase shifts ($\Lambda = 1.03$ GeV).



FIG. 1. Diagrammatic representation of the Bethe-Salpeter equation.

Finally the potential V appearing in the BS equation is taken from the lowest order chiral Lagrangians

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{12f^2} < (\partial_\mu \Phi\Phi - \Phi\partial_\mu\Phi)^2 + M\Phi^4 > \tag{5}$$

where the symbol <> indicates the trace in flavour space, f is the pion decay constant and Φ, M are the meson and mass $SU(3)$ matrices.

In s-wave and for isospin zero the only pieces needed in our calculation are given by

$$V_{11} = - < K\bar{K}|\mathcal{L}_2|K\bar{K} > = -\frac{1}{4f^2}(3s + 4m_K^2 - \sum_i p_i^2) \tag{6}$$

$$V_{21} = - < \pi\pi|\mathcal{L}_2|K\bar{K} > = -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{12}f^2}(\frac{9}{2}s + 3m_K^2 + 3m_\pi^2 - \frac{3}{2}\sum_i p_i^2) \tag{7}$$

$$V_{22} = - < \pi\pi|\mathcal{L}_2|\pi\pi > = -\frac{1}{9f^2}(9s + \frac{15m_\pi^2}{2} - 3\sum_i p_i^2) \tag{8}$$

This model reproduces well phase shifts and inelasticities up to about 1.2 GeV. The σ and $f_0(980)$ resonances appear as poles of the scattering amplitude. The coupling of channels is essential to produce the $f_0(980)$ resonance, while the σ pole is little affected by the coupling of the pions to $K\bar{K}$ [14].

III. $\pi\pi$ SCATTERING IN THE NUCLEAR MEDIUM.

As we are mainly interested in the low energy region, which is not very sensitive to the kaon channels, we will only consider the nuclear medium effects on the pions. The main changes of the pion propagation in the nuclear medium come from the p-wave selfenergy, produced basically by the the coupling of pions to particle-hole (ph) and Delta-hole (Δh) excitations [19].

Thus, the new BS equation now will include the diagrams of Fig. 2 where the solid line bubbles represent the ph and Δh excitations.



FIG. 2. Terms of the meson-meson scattering amplitude accounting for ph and Δh excitation.

In fact, as it was shown in [20], the contact terms with the ph (Δh) excitations of diagrams (b)(c)(d) cancel the off shell contribution from the meson meson vertices in the term of Fig. 2(a). Hence, we just need to calculate the diagrams of the free type (Fig. 1) and those of Fig. 2(a).

There are other medium corrections, like the one depicted in Fig. 3



FIG. 3. Tadpole σ selfenergy diagram.

which has been considered in several papers like [21–23] using the linear sigma model. The coupling of the sigma to the nucleons, can be borrowed from the Bonn potential [24].

In our approach, we start with only pseudoscalar meson fields. The sigma is generated dynamically through the rescattering of the pions. A possible analog to the diagram of Fig. 3 is given by the diagrams of Fig. 4.



FIG. 4. Some tadpole diagrams contributing to the meson-meson scattering amplitude

In order to evaluate the contribution of these diagrams we use the chiral Lagrangians involving the octet of baryons and the octet of pseudoscalar mesons and these terms result to be proportional to $\bar{p}\gamma^\mu p - \bar{n}\gamma^\mu n$ and thus vanish in symmetric nuclear matter.

Also we would need to consider diagrams of the type shown in Fig. 5



FIG. 5. Higher order tadpole diagram.

We also get a negligible contribution to the the $\pi\pi$ scattering in the nuclear medium from this diagram [25].

Finally, we could also include excitation of resonances from the occupied nucleon states by the pair of mesons. The major contribution comes from the $N^*(1440)$ Roper resonance which couples to two pions in the scalar isoscalar channel and plays an important role in the $\pi N \to \pi\pi N$ reaction [26,27] and other two pion production processes like the $NN \to NN\pi\pi$ reaction close to threshold [28,29]. The lowest order Roper contribution diagram is shown in Fig. 6.



FIG. 6. First order resonance-hole contribution to the meson meson scattering in the scalar isoscalar channel.

Details on the inclusion of this mechanism, the determination of the coupling constants and its effects, which are also small, can be found in ref. [25].



FIG. 7. Real and imaginary part of the pion-pion scattering amplitude in the S=I=0 channel as a function of the center of mass energy for different nuclear densities.Solid line, free amplitude; long dashed line, $\rho = \rho_0/8$; short dashed line, $\rho = \rho_0/2$; dotted line, $\rho = \rho_0$; dashed dotted line, $\rho = 1.5\rho_0$.

In Fig. 7 we show our results for the $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude as a function of the nuclear density in a range of energies from 150 MeV to 1100 MeV. As one can see in the figure, there is an accumulation of strength in the imaginary part of the amplitude close to the two pion threshold as it was predicted by [6] and had been also found in other calculations [8]. The possible relation of this strength to the enhanced two pion invariant mass distribution in $(\pi, 2\pi)$ reactions in nuclei at small invariant masses will be discussed in the next section. The strength below the two pion threshold corresponds to the decay into particle-hole states. At intermediate invariant masses we can see a

quite strong decrease of the strength of the imaginary part, and also large distortions of the real part of the scattering amplitude. This could lead to some effects, not yet investigated, for two pion production reactions. Below 900 MeV, the effect of the two kaon channel are quite small, and a single channel calculation would lead to quite similar results.

Also the $f_0(980)$ resonance properties are modified in the medium. The position of the resonance does not change much as a function of the density. However, the width increases gradually until the resonant shape practically disappears above the normal nuclear density.



FIG. 8. Real and imaginary part of the $\pi\pi \to K\bar{K}$ scattering amplitude in the I=0 channel as a function of the center of mass energy for different nuclear densities. Meaning of the lines as in Fig. 7

In Fig. 8 we show the $\pi\pi \to K\bar{K}$ amplitude. In this channel the $f_0(980)$ appears as a Breit Wigner contribution rotated 90 degrees and it is more easily visible, due to the absence of a large background of the $\pi\pi$ elastic scattering.

The resonant-like behavior found around 300 MeV is mainly produced by the strong coupling of the two kaon channel to the Roper-hole excitation. A detailed discussion on that coupling and results for the $K\bar{K} \to K\bar{K}$ amplitude can be found in ref. [25].

IV. σ MESON MASS AND WIDTH IN THE NUCLEAR MEDIUM

In this section we will consider the ligthest pole position of the $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude in the S=I=0 channel in the complex energy plane, which corresponds to the σ resonance. In vacuum, the pole position occurs at a mass around 470 MeV and the width is around 400 MeV [14]. A compilation of values for these magnitudes obtained in several modern analyses can be found in ref. [31]. See also Fig. 1 of ref. [32].

The analytical structure of the meson meson scattering amplitude is driven by the meson loops depicted in Fig. 9,



FIG. 9.

which are given by the formula

$$G_{\pi\pi} \sim \int_0^\infty d^4q\, D_\pi(q^0, \overrightarrow{q}) D_\pi(\sqrt{s} - q^0, -\overrightarrow{q}) \tag{9}$$

where D_π is the π propagator which, in the nuclei, will incorporate the p-wave coupling of the pions to particle-hole and Δ-hole excitations. We can simplify the calculation by using the Lehmann representation for the meson propagators

$$D_\pi(q^0, \overrightarrow{q}) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dx\, \frac{x\ Im\, D_\pi(x, \overrightarrow{q})}{(q^0)^2 - x^2 + i\epsilon} \tag{10}$$

After some algebraic manipulation we obtain

$$G = \int_0^\infty \frac{dE}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{P^0 - E + i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{P^0 + E - i\epsilon}\right) F(E) \tag{11}$$

where $F(E)$ is a real function given by

$$F(E) = \int d^3q \int_{-E}^{E} dx\, Im\, D_\pi((E + x/2), \overrightarrow{q})\ Im\, D_\pi((E - x/2), \overrightarrow{q}) \tag{12}$$

which in vacuum takes the simple form

$$F_{vacuum}(E) = \frac{p_\pi}{4\pi\, E} \tag{13}$$

where

$$p_\pi = \sqrt{\frac{E^2}{4} - m_\pi^2} \tag{14}$$

The function $F(E)$ includes all the phenomenological information on the pion selfenergy in the nuclear medium. The results for several densities are shown in Fig.10



FIG. 10. $F(E)$ at several densities. Solid line: vacuum, dashed line: $\rho_0/8$,short-dashed line: $\rho_0/4$, dotted line: $\rho_0/2$

The $F(E)$ strength is related to the imaginary part of the σ propagator and therefore reflects the energy and density dependence of the different σ decay channels. We can start classifying them according to their density dependence (See. Fig.11).



FIG. 11. σ decay channels classified according to their density dependence

At low densities, the σ meson can only decay into two pions, $\sigma \rightarrow \pi + \pi$. Therefore we have a threshold at $E = 2m_\pi$. As the density grows the $\sigma \rightarrow \pi + (Nh)$ decay channel becomes relevant and we have a new threshold at $E = m_\pi$, which is clearly visible in the curve corresponding to $\rho = \rho_0/8$. Finally, at larger densities, mechanisms such as $\sigma \rightarrow 2(Nh)$ which go like ρ^2 become important. They are possible even at very low energies.

Using the two meson propagator of Eq. 11 we can calculate the scattering amplitude and look for the poles in the complex energy plane. The results are shown in Fig. 12 for densities up to 1.5 ρ_0. Note, however, that the calculation is more reliable at low densities because some contributions of order ρ^2 or higher are missing. We find that both mass and width decrease as the density increases, reaching a mass around 200 MeV and a similar width at 1.5 times the nuclear density.



FIG. 12. σ mass (solid line) and half width (dashed line) as a function of the density

Similar results are found in other models. See for instance Fig. 10 of ref. [30]. But we should stress that there the changes respond to a reduction of the f_π value which we have kept constant. The basic ingredient that drives the mass decrease in our calculation is the p-wave interaction of the pion with the baryons in the medium. The change of f_π as a fuction of density in [30] and related works is linked to the renormalization of the axial current in nuclei, but in standard many body theory these currents are renormalized using the same lagrangians that in the evaluation of the pion selfenergy [34–36]. Therefore one should not modify f_π in these latter approaches to avoid doublecounting. In fact a large change at normal nuclear density would be quite difficult to accommodate to the quite well known pion nucleus phenomenology (pionic atoms, pion absorption, etc.).

V. EXPERIMENTAL SIGNATURES

Reactions with two low energy pions in the final state are the obvious candidates to test the σ meson properties in the nuclear medium. Both CHAOS and CB data on the $A(\pi, \pi + \pi)X$ reaction have been extensively discussed in several talks at this conference. Therefore, we will just comment briefly a few points.

In both cases there are clear and strong medium effects in the scalar isoscalar channels. The CHAOS collaboration has measured several charge channels that show these effects to be absent in other channels.

So far, the theoretical attempts have failed to reproduce existing data. The enhancement obtained at low "σ" masses by the calculations is too small. There are claims that additional strength would be gained once the effects of the partial restoration of the chiral symmetry are included to the "standard" nuclear medium effects of the current theoretical analyses. However, even large changes in the pion pion interaction would affect little the calculations. The main reason for this being the very large distortion (quasielastic and absorption) of the initial pions at the CHAOS energies. Because of this, the reaction happens at the nuclear surface, with a quite low effective density.

On the other hand, the $(\pi, \pi^+ + \pi^-)$ and $(\pi, \pi^0 + \pi^0)$ channels are very small at low invariant masses of the two pions system. Comparing these invariant mass distributions with phase space, and studying the microscopic model for the reaction it is clear that there are strong cancellations between different mechanisms that contribute to this reaction. These cancellations could be modified in nuclei if the relative phases of the involved mechanisms change even slightly and that could produce a large part of the observed effects.

Further and better studies are clearly needed. However, $(\pi, \pi\pi)$ reactions will never be free from the two problems pointed out, the strong initial pion distortion (and therefore small effective density) and the complicated microscopical

mechanisms involved. The $(\gamma, \pi\pi)$ process is, at least, free from the first of those problems and look clearly more promising [36]. Some preliminary results have already been presented at this conference by Volker Metag and could be more conclusive in determining the strength of the $\pi - \pi$ interaction in nuclei.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is partly supproted by DGICYT contract no. BFM2000-1326 and by the EEC-TMR Program, EURODAPHNE, Contract No. ERBFMRX-CT98-0169.

---

[1] F. Bonutti *et al.* [CHAOS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 603 (1996).
[2] F. Bonutti *et al.* [CHAOS Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. A **638**, 729 (1998).
[3] P. Camerini, N. Grion, R. Rui and D. Vetterli, Nucl. Phys. A **552**, 451 (1993) [Erratum-ibid. A **572**, 791 (1993)].
[4] F. Bonutti *et al.* [CHAOS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. **C60**, 018201 (1999).
[5] A. Starostin *et al.* [Crystal Ball Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5539 (2000).
[6] P. Schuck, W. Norenberg and G. Chanfray, Z. Phys. A **330**, 119 (1988).
[7] R. Rapp, J. W. Durso and J. Wambach, Nucl. Phys. A **596**, 436 (1996) [arXiv:nucl-th/9508026].
[8] Z. Aouissat, R. Rapp, G. Chanfray, P. Schuck and J. Wambach, Nucl. Phys. A **581**, 471 (1995) [arXiv:nucl-th/9406010].
[9] H. C. Chiang, E. Oset and M. J. Vicente-Vacas, Nucl. Phys. A **644**, 77 (1998) [arXiv:nucl-th/9712047].
[10] M. J. Vicente-Vacas, E. Oset and H. C. Chiang, Acta Phys. Polon. B **29** (1998) 3137.
[11] R. Rapp *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 1237 (1999) [arXiv:nucl-th/9810007].
[12] M. J. Vicente Vacas and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. C **60**, 064621 (1999) [arXiv:nucl-th/9907008].
[13] M. J. Vicente Vacas and E. Oset, arXiv:nucl-th/0002010.
[14] J. A. Oller and E. Oset, Nucl. Phys. A **620**, 438 (1997) [Erratum-ibid. A **652**, 407 (1997)] [arXiv:hep-ph/9702314].
[15] J. A. Oller, E. Oset and J. R. Pelaez, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3452 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9803242].
[16] J. A. Oller, E. Oset and J. R. Pelaez, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 074001 (1999) [Erratum-ibid. D **60**, 099906 (1999)] [arXiv:hep-ph/9804209].
[17] J. Nieves and E. Ruiz Arriola, Nucl. Phys. A **679** (2000) 57 [arXiv:hep-ph/9907469].
[18] J. Nieves and E. Ruiz Arriola, Phys. Lett. B **455** (1999) 30 [arXiv:nucl-th/9807035].
[19] E. Oset, P. Fernandez de Cordoba, L. L. Salcedo and R. Brockmann, Phys. Rept. **188**, 79 (1990).
[20] G. Chanfray and D. Davesne, Nucl. Phys. A **646**, 125 (1999).
[21] T. Hatsuda, T. Kunihiro and H. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2840 (1999).
[22] T. Kunihiro, arXiv:hep-ph/9905262.
[23] D. Jido, T. Hatsuda and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 011901 [arXiv:hep-ph/0008076].
[24] R. Machleidt, K. Holinde and C. Elster, Phys. Rept. **149**, 1 (1987).
[25] E. Oset and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Nucl. Phys. A **678** (2000) 424 [arXiv:nucl-th/0004030].
[26] E. Oset and M. J. Vicente-Vacas, Nucl. Phys. A **446**, 584 (1985).
[27] V. Sossi, N. Fazel, R. R. Johnson and M. J. Vicente-Vacas, Phys. Lett. B **298**, 287 (1993).
[28] L. Alvarez-Ruso, E. Oset and E. Hernandez, Nucl. Phys. A **633**, 519 (1998) [arXiv:nucl-th/9706046].
[29] L. Alvarez-Ruso, Phys. Lett. B **452**, 207 (1999) [arXiv:nucl-th/9811058].
[30] T. Hatsuda and T. Kunihiro, arXiv:nucl-th/0112027.
[31] V. E. Markushin, Z. Xiao and H. Q. Zheng, Nucl. Phys. A **695** (2001) 273 [arXiv:hep-ph/0011260].
[32] N. A. Tornqvist, arXiv:hep-ph/0008135.
[33] M. Kirchbach, D. O. Riska and K. Tsushima, Nucl. Phys. A **542** (1992) 616.
[34] E. Oset, M. Rho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42** (1979) 47.
[35] A. Gil, M. Kleinmann, H. Muther and E. Oset, Nucl. Phys. A **584** (1995) 621 [arXiv:nucl-th/9408028].
[36] E. Oset, L. Roca, M. J. Vacas and J. C. Nacher, arXiv:nucl-th/0112033.